

© 2021 Signature Science, LLC

# A Proteos Program Retrospective: Establishing Protein Sequencing for Forensic Analysis

### **Curt Hewitt, PhD**

Leader, Human Identification R&D Signature Science Center for Advanced Genomics



## Outline

- IARPA Proteos Program Overview
- Technical Approach
- Project Highlights
- Limitations
- Future Research Considerations



## **IARPA PROTEOS Program**

- Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA)
- Seeks to Develop Novel Methods for Human Identification by Protein Sequencing of Touch Samples
- Develop Methods to Efficiently Co-Extract Protein and DNA in Parallel





Office of the Director of National Intelligence

SigSci teamed with UNT CHI and The Ohio State University for Proteos



The Ohio State University



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

ARPA

AMPUS CHEMICAL INSTRUMENT CENTER

### **IARPA PROTEOS Program**

	Year 1		Year 2		
R&D	Internal Samples for Marker Discovery (Common Markers) Bulk Skin; Artificial Fingerprints; Touch Samples		Internal Samples for Marker Discovery (Rare Markers) Bulk Skin; Touch Samples		
Г&Е		Bulk Skin; Artificial Finger- prints		Donor Finger- prints on Various Surfaces	Analysis and Che Brass S Ordinan But

HEALTH SCIENCE CENTER

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

SIgi

(<u>s c i </u>e n c e)<sub>llc</sub>



### s of Challenging Touch emically-Treated Bulk Samples

Shell Casings; Exploded nce; Wood/Paper; Metal; ttons/Keys; Mixtures

### **Challenges in Human Forensic Identification**



## **Components of Touch Samples**

- Ridge Pattern
- Sebaceous Fluids (Oils, Sweat)
- Extracellular DNA
- Keratinized Skin Cells





### **Current State of the Art – DNA Forensics**







### **Current State of the Art – DNA Forensics**







## **Thought Experiment...**

### Let's assume:

- 1. Protein sequencing has utility for forensic identification
- 2. When you collect a touch sample, you're collecting both DNA and protein





















Page 13

Protein

## **Protein Recovery from DNA Extraction Columns**

- No significant protein detected in DNA column flow-through or washes
  - High salt concentration would complicate analysis
- Protein can be recovered from the column matrix following stringent denaturation/elution
  - Heat + DTT
- ~25% recovery of total protein in sample







# Column Eluate **Fouch Extract**





HEALTH SCIENCE CENTER

The Ohio State University

SIg



### **Protein Analysis**

### **Correlation Between DNA and Protein Recovery**



rρ Н LTH THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY SCIENCE CENTER science



### **Correlation Between DNA and Protein Recovery**



HEALTH SCIENCE CENTER rP The Ohio State University science



### **Proteogenomic Chemical Analysis**





## **Proteomic Analysis Method | LC-HRAM-MS2**

- Nano-LC
  - Thermo Scientific Ultimate 3000 RSLCNano
  - LC Run Time: 320 min (5 h gradient)
- High Resolution, Accurate MS2
  - Thermo Scientific Q Exactive Plus
  - Data Dependent MS2 or PRM Resolution = 17,500





### **Genome-Wide Marker Distribution**

2.5e+08 -		•														
D amosome 1.5e+08 -	•		•		•	•	•	•								
start or SAP C		•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	
Bebtide 5.0e+07 -	•	•	•			•		•	•		•	•		•	•	
0.0e+00 -	chr1 -	chr2 -	chr3 -	chr4 -	chr5 -	chr6 -	chr7 -	chr8 -	chr9 -	chr10 -	O chr11 -	morhr12 -	chr13 -	echr14 -	chr15 -	chr16 -

Genomic Distribution of SigSci Proteo-ID GVP Pan	lel
Phase 3   20210706	

Statistic	Value
Unique Proteins	445
Reference GVPs	281
Variant GVPs	191
Unique to 1 Donor	49
Unique to 1 T&E Donor	39
Unique to 1 SigSci Donor	42

sig





### **PRM Analyses of Internal Donors Using Common GVPs**

SCIENCE CENTER



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

science







## **Simple Likelihood Ratio Calculations**



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

rP

scienc

# **Project Highlights**



## **DNA Degradation | Case Study**

- Internal touch samples collected on plastic coupons
  - Controls (no UV)
  - UV treatment
  - Two replicates of each
- High degradation index
- Major decrease in STR alleles detected







## **DNA Degradation | Case Study**

- Following UV-exposure, 24 variable peptides identified
- Identified correct donor out of panel of 52 individuals

GVP Allele Frequency Based Likelihood Ratio Estimate 20210816 Proteos QE rerun 006 | SK002





### Page 25

### Inconsistent RMP

- 2.5
- 1.5
- 1.0

- 9mm Brass Shell Casings (Blinded):
  - Three replicates
  - Question: Who is/are the contributor(s)?
- Contradictory results:
  - DNA:
    - PR02 = major contributor
    - Probable mixture, but insufficient data for comparison to any reference profiles
  - Protein
    - PR01 = major contributor
    - PR14 = minor contributor

The Ohio State University





HEALTH SCIENCE CENTER







SCIEDCEULS THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY UNIT SCIENCE CENTER

Sig



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

- Ground Truth:
  - Samples provided were mixtures
  - Three contributors: PR01, PR02, and PR14
- Contradictory results were actually complimentary
- How broad is the utility of combined DNA/protein analysis for mixtures?

### and PR14 omplimentary I DNA/protein



UNT HEALTH

SIE



**HEALTH** SCIENCE CENTER

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

SI2

(science

## Identification of New Contributor with Known Exome

- New exome profile provided (PR43)
- Touch samples provided:
  - Three replicates
  - Question: Is PR43 present?
- Exome data processed, variable peptides identified
- Successful identification of new contributor

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY







## **Current Challenges**

- Sample collection and extraction methods not in complete alignment with most forensic labs
- Instrumentation
- No equivalent to CODIS for protein markers
  - Protein profiles can be compared to each other or a known exome/whole genome sequence
- Limited panel size and detectability can limit LR values
  - Even harder considering that some alleles may not be expressed or detectable
  - May be further complicated by kinship
- Rare protein markers
  - Extremely discriminating or just a false positive? How do you validate a marker you have never encountered?



## **Future Directions**

- Collection and extraction method optimization
- Method transfer to operational labs and independent laboratory evaluation from beginning to end
- Validation on relevant sample types
- Collection of additional data on sample matrices with known contributor(s)
- Database development (structure, format, hosting, accessibility, content)





## **Acknowledgements**





**Project Co-Pl** Curt Hewitt, Ph.D.



**Project Co-PI** Myles Gardner, Ph.D.

### Key Contributors (SigSci) Kathleen Schulte, M.S. Nicolette Albright, M.S. Michael Re, Ph.D., PMP

- Danielle LeSassier, Ph.D.
- **Alan Smith**
- **Megan Powals Ben Ludolph**





August Woerner, Ph.D.



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

Michael Freitas, Ph.D.

### **Key Contributors (UNT CHI)** Ben Crysup, Ph.D.

Bruce Budowle, Ph.D.

**Key Contributors (OSU)** 

- Andrew Reed, Ph.D. •
- Liwen Zhang, Ph.D.
- Maryam Baniasad

Funding Acknowledgement: This research is based upon work supported in part by the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI), Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA), via contract number 2018-18041000003. The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of ODNI, IARPA, or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright annotation therein.



© 2021 Signature Science, LLC